FILEDOS

87	-	<u>j</u> r
----	---	------------

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL DERIENZO, P.L.L.C.

State Bar #010597 3681 N. ROBERT ROAD

PRESCOTT VALLEY, AZ 86314

EMAIL: DERIENZOLAW@HOTMAIL.COM

TEL.: (928) 442-2111 FAX: (928) 759-5573 Daniel J. DeRienzo Attorney at Law 2013 MAR -5 PM 2: 21 VIRLYNN TINNELL

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

STATE OF ARIZONA,) CR 2010-00823
Plaintiff-Respondent,)PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION)RELIEF; and MOTION TO WITHDRAW;)and MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW
vs.)SUPPLEMENTAL PRO SE
JOHN CHARLES MCCLUSKEY,)MEMORANDUM; ORDER)
Defendant-Petitioner.	(Hon. Steven F. Conn)

Petitioner was indicted with Count 1: Escape in the Second Degree; a class 5 felony, Counts 2 and 3: Two Counts of Kidnapping, cl. 2 felonies; Counts 4 and 5: Two counts of armed robbery, each a cl. 2 felony County 6 and 7, two counts of aggrevated assault, each a cl. 3 felony and Count 8: Misconduct involving wea[pons, a cl. 4 felony.

After a jury Trial, the defendant was found guilty as charged.

Undersigned Counsel informs the Court that he has reviewed the following materials in this matter:

- 1. The entire allellate record, including the petition for review.
- 2. All trial and pre-trial transcripts
- 3. All sentencing documents and transcripts
- 4. All Minute Entries/Motions/Courts file
- 5. Correspondence with Petitioner



Having completed this review pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 76 S. Ct. 1396, (1967), undersigned Counsel is unable to find any claims for relief to raise in this post-conviction proceeding. Accordingly, Counsel has no Petition for Post-Conviction Relief to file at this time and respectfully requests leave to withdraw. It is further requested that this Court search the record for fundamental error pursuant to Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 13-4035 and <u>State v.</u> <u>Powell</u>, 5 Ariz App. 51, 423 P. 2d 127 (1967).

It is requested that the Court extend the time for filing a Petition for Post-Conviction Relief for 45 days so that the Petitioner may file a Rule 32 petition in propria persona should he/she choose to do so. See State v. Smith, 184 Ariz. 456, 910 P.2d 1 (1996) and Lammie v. Barker, 185 Ariz. 263, 915 P.2d 662 (1996).

<u>Lammie</u> makes it clear that the Petitioner shall be permitted to proceed pro per but that Counsel will remain nominal Counsel of record in case his services are needed, for instance, to assist the Petitioner at a hearing.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED March 4, 2013.

Daniel J. DeRienzo Attorney for Defendant

Page 2 of 4

Copies of the foregoing mailed / hand-delivered / faxed this date to:

The Hon. Steven F. Conn Judge of the Superior Court Mohave County Superior Court

Mohave County Attorney's Office 315 N. Fourth Street Kingman, AZ 86402

Ву